

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHAME COME:

Plant Genetics, Inc.

Withereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT LIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT LAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

ALFALFA

'Flint'

In Essimony Winexeot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 30th day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-eight.

Riland E. Lyng Secretary of Stariculture

Struct H. Evans

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

U.S. DEPA	RTMENT OF AGRICUL	TURE	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-000			
AGRICULTI	APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE					
APPLICATION FOR PLANT						
	tructions on reverse)	ECTION CERTIFICATE	be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information held confidential until certificate is issued.			
	(Tactions on Teverse)		(7 U.S.C. 2426),			
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	•	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. VARIETY NAME			
Plant Genetics, Inc.		83837	Flint			
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No.,	City, State, and Zip Code) 5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY			
1930 5th St.			PVPO NUMBER			
Davis, CA. 95616		(916) 753-1400	9000107			
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME			8800103			
B. SENOS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NA	AME (Botanical)	DATE			
Medicago sativa	Legumino	seae	TIME TIME			
			TIME 10:00 MAM. P.M.			
B. KIND NAME		. DATE OF DETERMINATION	AMOUNT FOR FILING			
	[-	. DATE OF BETEINMANTON	a : 1800 00			
Alfalfa		Foundation-Fall '85	DATE			
			Tharch 8,1988			
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "I partnership, association, etc.)	PERSON," GIVE FORM	OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation	AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE			
			S 200.00			
Corporation			L DATE			
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF IN	CORRORATION		1 1988 X 1988			
California	CORPORATION	•	12. DATE OF INCORPORATION			
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT R	EPRESENTATIVE(S) 1	F ANY TO SERVE IN THIS APPLIE	January 1981			
Mr. James	C. Weseman	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Limbach, L	imbach & Sutton	·				
2001 Ferry	/ Building					
San Franci	isco, CA 94111	PHONE (Include are	ne code): (415)433-4150			
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH A		TED				
a, 🔀 Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding Histo	ory of the Variety (See.	Section 52 of the Plant Variety Pro	tection Act.)			
b. Z Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.		•				
c. 🗵 Exhibit C, Objective Description of		from Plant Variety Protection Offic	e.)			
d. Exhibit D. Additional Description of	• • •					
e. M Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT	Applicant's Ownership,					
SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety	ty Protection Act.)	Yes (If "Yes," answer in	I —			
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATION	THIS VARIETY BE	17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, W BEYOND BREEDER SEE	HICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION			
∏ Yes ☐ No						
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY F	ILE FOR PROTECTIO	Foundation	Registered Certified			
0.0 1110 111 210 111 111 111 111 111 111 1	122 / 0.11110120110	THE VANIETT IN THE O.E	Yes (If "Yes," give date)			
			[▽] No			
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OF	FERED FOR SALE O	A MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR ((A)			
		The opposite of the opposite o	Yes (If "Yes," give names			
U.S.A March 26, 1987			of countries and dates			
			No No			
 The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable s plenished upon request in accordance wit 	ample of basic seeds of	of this variety will be furnished w	with the application and will be re-			
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the	·-		ery, and believe(s) that the variety is			
distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Variety Protection Act.	n Section 41, and is e	ntitled to protection under the	provisions of Section 42 of the Plant			
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false re	epresentation herein-	can jeopardize protection and re	sult in penalties.			
SIGNATURE OF APPOCANT ALL			DATE			
Keith A. Walker, Vice Preside	nt, Research		March 4, 1988			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT			PATE			
		-	1			
		i.				

Exhibit 14A:

Flint is a 114 plant synthetic moderate dormant alfalfa cultivar developed by mass selecting plants for resistance to anthracnose. Plants selected were from the following varieties: AS67 (10), Atra 55 (2), Blazer (5), Cascade (5), Duke (20), Expo (14), G-7730 (1), Jubilee (11), Olympic (21), 524 (1), 545 (6), Riley (7), Trident (11). In 1983, plants were pollinated in a screened isolation cage by leafcutter and honey bees to produce breeder seed (Syn 1).

Flint is uniform and stable through the foundation generation, commensurate with other alfalfa cultivars based on 13 years of data collection and observations. The certified seed generation has revealed no variants from the previous generations.

Exhibit 14B:

Flint is most similar to Commandor and Kingstar, but differs in the following pest resistances and dormancy ratings.

Characteristics	<u>Flint</u>	Commandor (a)	Kingstar (a)
Dormancy	4	4	3
Bacterial Wilt	R	R	R
Verticillium Wilt	LR	MR	R
fusarium Wilt	HR	R R	HR
Anthracnose	HR	HR	MR
Phytophthora Root Rot	R	R	R
Spotted Alfalfa Aphid	R	LR	R
Pea Aphid	MR	-	MR
Blue Alfalfa Aphid	S	· -	<u> </u>
Stem Nematode	MR	MR .	R

⁽a) 1987 Alfalfa Varieties - published by the Certified Alfalfa Seed Council.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
EVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20206

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

ALFALFA (Medicago sativa sensu Gunn et al.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)			TEMPORARY	DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAM	E	
Plant Genetics, Inc.			83837		Flin	t	
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D.	No. City, State, and	Zip Codel	<u></u>		PVPO NUMBER	FOR OFFICIAL USE ON	LY
1930 5th St.						0000107	
Davis, CA. 95616						8800103	
PLEASE READ ALL INSTRUCT application variety. Data for qua titative data. Comparative data stee, The Munsell Plant Tissue Co	ntitative plant char: 10uld be determined	acters should be based	on a minimum of 1	00 plants. Include le	ading zeros when i	necessary (e.g., 0 8	[9]) for quan-
1. WINTERHARDINESS:							
	1 + Very Non-Winterha 3 = Intermediately Nor 5 = (Du Puits) 7 = (Ranger) 3 = Extremely Winterh	n-Winterhardy (Mesilla)	4 = Semi-Winter 6 = Moderately 8 = Winterhardy	hardy (Moapa 69) hardy (Lahontan) Winterhardy (Saranac) (Vernal)			
	TEST LOCATION: 114	ampa, ID, ROCKS	initings. FA:				
Z. FALL DORMANCY:	F	ALL DORMANCY (DETERMINED FRO	M SPACED PLANT	NGS)		
		T		REGROWTH SCORE	OR AVERAGE HEI	GHT	
TESTING INSTITUTION AND LOCATION	DATE OF LAST CUT	DATE REGROWTH SCORED	APPLICATION		CHECK VARIET		LSD .05
			VARIETY	Saranac AR	Vernal	Lahontan	
Plant Genetics, Inc. Nampa, ID	9/4/84	9/19/84	4.3	4.3	3.0	6.8	0.8
Univ. of Pa. Rocksprings, PA.	9/6/85	9/25/85	10.4	9.4	-	-	1.9
* CUF 101, Moapa 69, Mesilla, Lahon	tan, Du Puits, Saranac,	Ranger, Vernal, or Nors	eman as appropriate,		<u> </u>		
Specify scoring system used: Re	growth measur	ed in inches				-	
5 Fall Growth Habit (Deter	mined from Fall Dorm	nancy Trials)					
	= Erect (CUF 101) = Semidecumbent (Ve		nierect (Mesilla) iumbent (Norseman)	5 - Intermediate	(Saranac)		,
3. RECOVERY AFTER FIRST SPRIN	G CUT (In Southwest	, first out after Merch 21):				
	st (CUF 101) hw (Norseman)	3 = Fast	t (Saranac)	5 = Intermediate	r (Ranger)	7 = Slow (Vernal)	
TEST LOCA	ATION: No. [)ata					
4. AREAS OF ADAPTATION IN U.S. 1 Primary Area of Adaptation		ven adapted):		2 6 Oth	er Areas of Adaptati	ion	
1 = North C 5 = Moderat 8 = Other (S	ely Winterhardy Intern	.2 - East Central nountain	3 - So 6 - Winterhardy Inte		4 • Southwest 5 7 • Greet Plains		
. . 						A XIS	
Days Earlier Than		wers at time of first sprin t = CUF		2 = Mesilla	3 = Seranec	4 - Vernal 5 - 1	Norseman
Days Later Than	TEST LOCATION:	No Data	•	-			4
					1		PAGE 1 OF

b FLANT COLOR (Ostermina	••			teathoppers of recesse	ry).		8800103
1 - Very Dark Gre		2 = Dark Green		3 * Light Green (•	
	/ALUE (Specify chart used)					<u>, </u>	
APPLICATION V	ARIETY:					 	
VERNAL							
7. CROWN TYPE (Determined	d from spaced plantings):						
2 Noncreeling T		/ernall	2 = Intermediate (Saranaci	3 = Narrow (CI	IF 1013	-
Creeping Types		Rooted (Rangel		5 • Rhizomatous			A *
							
9 8 S Purple and M	ine trequency of plents for o		I detined by OSDA A	1	No. 424 (Barn lasses 2.3 and 2		prants in piot to flower;
	ther Than Blue (Subclasses		.91		bclasses 4.1 to	-	
Trace * Cream (Class	3)		Trac	e % White (Clas	± 5)		
	on: Canyon Coun	y, Idaho	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
9, POD SHAPE (Determine fre	quency of plants with the fo	llowing pod shap	ses produced on well	cross-pollinated racen	nes):		
100 % Tightly Coiled	d (One or more cails, center	more or less clos	ed)	% Loosely Co	iled (One or mo	ore coils, center consp	icuously open)
% Sickle (Less ti	han 1 coil)		· · · · · ·	TEST LOCAT	TON: Cany	on County, I	daho
10. PEST RESISTANCE: Provid	se in the appropriate column	, trial data for a	pplication variety, an	d resistant (R) and sur	poeptible (S) ch	eck varieties, synthet	ic generation tested, everage severity
index	scores (ASI), least significan	i difference stati	istics (LSD .05), the	institution in charge o	f test, year, and	l location of test, and	whether test is a field or laboratory as at data from other test years or
iocatio	ons should be presented who	mever available o	n a separate docume	nt as Exhibit D.			·
20705	i. Although comparisons wi						Rm. 335, BARC-West, Beltsville, MD commended by Elgin (1982) may be
presen	ised.		T	<u> </u>	1	% Resist.	
A. DISEASE RESISTANCE: OISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTEO	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	AST LSO .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Anthracnose, Race 1 (Calletotrichum trifolii)	Application	2	52.6	192	NA	9.4	Plant Genetics, Inc. 1986
	ATE (R) Saranac A	R (R)	52.4	1022	Ì		Woodland, CA. Greenhouse
	Saranac (S)		1.0	1095			di cennouse
	SCORING SYSTEM:	seedling	survival		<u> </u>		
		, securing	301 11/01	T	T		<u> </u>
Anthracnose, Race 2 (Collectotrichum trifolii)	Application						
No Data	Saranac AR (R)						
	Arc (S)						
•	SCORING SYSTEM:			<u></u>			
						·	
Bacterial Wilt (Corynebacterium insidiosum)	Application	1	40.6	Assumed 150-225	2.40	0.39	Univ. of Minn. 1985
	Vernal (R)		42.0	Assumed 150-225	2.28	•	Rosemount, MN.
	Narragensett (S)		5.2	Assumed 150-225	3.69		Field
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0-5; %0's &	1's = % re	sistance.				
Common Leafspot (Pseudopeziza medicaginis)	Application						
	MSA-CW3AN3 (R)						
No Data	Ranger (S)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	SCOPING SYSTEM:						5

DISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN TESTED			ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum)*	Application						
Isolate, if known	Saranac (R)						
No Data	Kanza (S)					1	
	SCORING SYSTEM	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>		
Fuserium Wilt (Fuserium axyxporum t, mediceginis)	Application	2	54.6	Assumed 120-180	2.43	0.77	Univ. of Minn.
	Мовра 69 (R)	NY NY INDRONES DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMP	81.3	Assumed 120-180	2.41	_	Rosemount, MN Field
	Nerregensett (RI- Mn GN-1 (s)		0.9	Assumed 120-180	4.90	<u> </u>	
	scoring system: 0-5; % 0's &	1 s = %	resistance				
Phytophthora Root Rot (Phytophthora megasperma 1, medicaginis)	Application	1	44.5	203	3.46	0.34	Plant Genetics, Inc.
	Agete (R)		43.0	210	3.57		1984 Woodland, CA.
•	Saranac (S)		4.8	502	4.08		Greenhouse
	scoring system 1-5; % 1's	£ 21s = %	resistance				
Verticillium Will (Verticillium alboatrum)	Application	1	12.1	208	3.62	0.24	Plant Genetics, Inc.
·	Vertus (R)		34.1	120	2.82	1984 Nampa, ID	Nampa, ID
	Saranac (S)		0.0	102	4.27		Greenhouse
	SCORING SYSTEM:	2's = % r	resistance.				· -
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)						
	(S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:	·	<u> </u>				
Other (Specify)	Application	,					
	(R)						
	(S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:			A			
INSECT RESISTANCE:	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	. PERCENT DEFOLIATION	DEFOLIATION IN PERCENT OF RESISTANT CHECK	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Alfalfa Weavil (Hypera postica)	Application						
No Data	Arc (R)			100			
	Saranac (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:			· , , , ,	- ····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		6

INSECT	VARIETY	SYN, GEN. TESTED	PERCENT SEEDLING SURVIVAL	NUMBER OF SEEDLINGS TESTED	ASI	% Resis	t INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCAT FIELD OR LABORATORY
Blue Alfalfa Aphid (Acyrthusiphon kondoi)	Application	1	3.5	198	NA	4.1	Plant Genetics, Inc
	CUF 101 (A)		48.6	193			1984 Woodland, CA.
	Mesa Sirsa	(s)	0.1	2139			Greenhouse
	SCORING SYSTEM	% seedling	survival	•			
Pea Aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisüm)	Application	1	28.1	151	NA	9.8	Plant Genetics, Ir
	COF 101 (R)		61.8	152			1986 Woodland, CA.
	Moapa 69 (s))	7.7	2042			Greenhouse
	į.	% seedling	survival				
Spotted Atfalfa Aphid (Therioaphis maculata)	Application	1	38.4	168	NA	12.2	Plant Genetics, Ir
Biotype, if known:	Kanza (R)		69.3	152			1984 Woodland, CA. Greenhouse
	Caliverde (s)	0.0	1248			
	%	seedling	survival		·	····	
INSECT	VARIETY .	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCA FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Empoasca labae)	Application						
No Data	MSA-CW3An3 (R)						
	Ranger (S)						-
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)		**************************************				
	(S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
NEMATODE	VARIETY	SYN, GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD ,05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCAT FIELD OR LABORATORY
lorthern Root Knot Meloidogyne haplal	Application				*		
<u> </u>	Nev. Syn. XX (R)						
o Data							

FORM LS-470-32 (4-85)

INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY

Plant Genetics, Inc.

Woodland, CA. Greenhouse

1986

	OSELY RESEMBLES THE APPLICATION VARIETY FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CHARACTERS:	
11 INDICATE THE VARIETY THAT MUST	OPETA MESEMBEES INC MARKINGA ANNIETA CON FROM OF THE	_
11, 112 101112 11112		

CHARACTER	VARIETY	CHARACTER	VARIETY
Winterhardiness	Saranac AR	Plant Color	No Critical Data
Recovery After 1st Cut	Saranac AR	Crown Type	No Critical Data
Area of Adaptation	Saranac AR	Combined Disease Resistance	Commandor
Flowering Date	No Critical Data	Combined Insect Resistance	Kingstar

REFERENCES

Barnes, D.K. 1972. A System for Visually Classifying Alfalfa Flower Color. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 424. 18 pp. (Note: Greenish cast of plate 6, A and B is an artifact of printing, actual colors a blend of yellow and white.)

Elgin, J.H., Jr., (ed.). 1982. Standard Tests to Characterize Pest Resistance in Alfalfa Cultivars. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. (in Press).

Gunn, C.R., W.H. Skrdla, and H.C. Spencer. 1978. Classification of Medicago sativa L. using legume characters and flower colors. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1574. 84 pp.

Munsell Color Co., 1977. Munsell Plant Tissue Color Charts. Munsell Color Co., Inc. Baltimore.

NOTE: Any additional descriptive information and supporting documentation may be provided as Exhibit D.

(5)

SCORING SYSTEM:

Exhibit 14E:

The principal breeder, Ike Kawaguchi, was employed by Plant Genetics, Inc. All rights to alfalfa varieties developed by the breeder while employed by Plant Genetics, Inc. are assigned to Plant Genetics, Inc.